UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE             | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/572,879  | 12/11/2006              | Roberto Defilippi    | 33033-1090          | 3660             |
| 45263<br>MITCHELL P.  | 7590 08/18/200<br>BROOK | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| LUCE, FORWARD, HAMILTON & SCRIPPS LLP<br>11988 EL CAMINO REAL, SUITE 200<br>SAN DIEGO, CA 92130 |                         |                      | COLEMAN, KEITH A    |                  |
|   |                         |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |                         |                      | 3747                |                  |
|   |                         |                      |                     |                  |
|   |                         |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|   |                         |                      | 08/18/2009          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)       |  |  |
|-----------------|--------------------|--|--|
| 10/572,879      | DEFILIPPI, ROBERTO |  |  |
|                 |                    |  |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit           |  |  |

|  | KEITH COLEMAN   | 3747  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the o   | correspondence add  | ress                                     |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>21 July 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL   | ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL   | LOWANCE.  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:   | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi<br>al (with appeal fee) in compliance   | t, or other evidence, w<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or          | hich places the (3) a Request            |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f   | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth<br>tter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing<br>b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | g date of the final rejectio                              | n.                                       |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extruder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL  | ension and the corresponding amount<br>hortened statutory period for reply origi                                      | of the fee. The appropria<br>nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl<br/>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter<br/>Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi<br/>AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>  | ision thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to   | avoid dismissal of the                                    |  |  |  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be  (a) They raise new issues that would require further core  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the second c | nsideration and/or search (see NO w);<br>er form for appeal by materially red   | ΓE below);<br>ducing or simplifying th                    |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12  5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-11. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |   | I be entered and an ex                                    | oplanation of                            |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary   | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea<br>and was not earlier presented. Se  | al and/or appellant fails<br>ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)        | s to provide a<br>).                     |  |  |  |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☑ The request for recognideration has been considered but  |   | •   |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.</li> <li>12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)</li> </ul>   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 13. Other:   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| /Stephen K. Cronin/<br>Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3747  | /K. C./<br>Examiner, Art Unit 3747  |   |  |  |  |  |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant contends that the taper is not part of the elongated body. However, as shown in Figure 5 the left end of the taper is attached to an elongated body housed in a cavity and clearly reads on the claimed subject matter. As such, the request for reconsideration is denied.